Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAR 17 1971
E. ROBERT SEAVER, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

No. 787

BERNARD J. AND MARY D. OHLENDORF,

Appellants,

v.

GARNETT AND MARTHA GAYLES,
PYRAMID BLDG. & LOAN ASSOCIATION,
PEARSON SUNDERLAND, JR., PRESIDENT, INDIVIDUALLY
AND T/A SUN LAND CORP.,

Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 58, Appellants hereby respectfully petition The Court for a rehearing re the order of 1 March 1971 which dismissed Appellants' appeal and denied Certiorari.

The facts of the instant litigation are quite simple; namely, Appellants guaranteed payment of $2,500.00 for the benefit of Appellees Gayles to Appellee Bldg. & Loan Assn. in event of default by Appellees Gayles. Appellees Gayles did default, Appellee Bldg. & Loan Assn. did noth-

ing to safeguard Appellants' interest as owed to a guarantor, Appellants have been unable to recover any portion of the $2,500.00 rightfully belonging to them, and the Courts of Maryland have stood idly by and afforded Appellants no relief.

It is considered that the instant litigation points up wrongs committed against Appellants which are exemplary of the irresponsible and undisciplined attitudes and acts toward others' rights and property which are running rampant through this country today. Unless responsible leaders in our Society, including this Court, begin to set an example which requires strict responsible and disciplined acts and attitudes toward the rights and property of others, which this Court has failed to do to date re the above titled litigation, how can we expect any greater degree of responsibility and discipline to be exercised and exhibited by the younger people in this country?

While the instant litigation lacks the glamour of the land mark cases of This Court, The Highest Court of This Country should stand ready, willing, and able to right all wrongs, large and small, against all aggrieved persons; natural and corporate, large and small, political and non political, and rich and poor.

It is absolutely unbelievable and unconscionable that, in This Great Country which boasts of Democracy and Justice for all, the Highest Court of this Country should stand idly by and permit a citizen to literally have $2,500.00 taken from him without any recourse, as in the instant litigation.

Unless this Court reverses the order dismissing Appellants' appeal and denying certiorari and grants the relief requested by Appellants in their Jurisdictional Statement and Brief in Opposition To Motion To Dismiss or Affirm,

it can only be considered that the death knell has been sounded and need has tolled for the Judicial System as now employed in This Country, and all responsible persons should begin looking for and attempting to establish a Judicial System which truly renders Justice for all; not merely for the High and the Mighty with the Poor and the Meek being granted the privilege and enormous pleasure of being able to participate, as in the present System, through lip service.

Respectfully submitted,

BERNARD J. OHLENDORF,

2924 Christopher Avenue,
Baltimore, Maryland 21214,

Attorneys for Appellants.